Noncredit Progress Indicator Pilot Training Materials

Included in this packet: Background Strategy Participating institutions and noncredit areas Sample Progress Indicators worksheets from a variety of noncredit institutions

The Noncredit Taskforce (composed of 42 people from all disciplines and roles in noncredit across the state - including 17 different institutions) has meet face-to-face twice in the summer and had several subcommittees working on specific reports or processes.

- Defining the indicators
- The purpose of indicators
- Goals of the pilot project
- Methods and experiences of colleges that have implemented and submitted progress indicators during the Fall 2010 semester
- Feedback from faculty on the effects of pilot indicators

Background

Noncredit serves over 350,000 FTES in our system and represents about half of the total basic skills work in the CCCs. Noncredit students are significantly more diverse and represent the students with the greatest need and those least likely to succeed in higher education without the benefits noncredit provides of flexible schedules, increased contact hours, opportunities for self-paced learning and all at no cost. Helping students through noncredit fulfills an essential role for our state and even more so with the dissolution of many Adult Education programs in spite of growing needs in these areas. None-the-less because accountability has become so important and funding is often dependent on documenting student success; noncredit education faces a huge challenge. With no grades in most of the courses and no documented progress or success beyond CDCP certificates, high school diplomas and a few other measures, the good work of noncredit becomes invisible and the funding is easily eliminated. Noncredit has always been funded far less than credit and although Noncredit Enhanced funding became available through SB 361, this was only the case because it was tied to documented metrics and annual accountability reporting.

The taskforce is addressing several ASCCC resolutions related to Noncredit which are major concerns of the faculty, staff and administrators:

• **9.01 Appropriate Noncredit Accountability Measures** Sylvia Ramirez, MiraCosta College, Noncredit Ad Hoc Committee Curriculum Fall 2009

- **13.01 Noncredit Accountability Measures** Andrea Sibley-Smith, North Orange County CCD, Noncredit Ad Hoc Committee General Concerns Spring 2008 **Topic:** General Concerns
- 13.04 S10 Improving Noncredit Accountability Reporting through Progress Indicators

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges develop a task force of primarily noncredit faculty and administrators representing all noncredit areas and other representatives, as appropriate, to research options and develop progress indicators and implementation strategies and to prioritize and address accountability issues as soon as possible, continuing into the 2010-2011 academic year;

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges develop a voluntary pilot using interim noncredit indicators with a goal of beginning in Summer 2010 and continuing into 2010-2011 academic year, with results to be used as research information for the taskforce and others; and

Resolved, That the Academic Senate for California Community Colleges pursue necessary changes in Title 5 and Board of Governors' policies with a goal of implementation of official noncredit progress indicators beginning in Fall 2011.

Pilot Project Goals

- Establish clear communication between institution MIS reporting and noncredit programs
- Collect a pilot set of accountability data based on noncredit indicators
- Evaluate the ability of noncredit programs to work with these indicators
- Evaluate the effectiveness of these indicators for use as accountability requirements

Pilot Project Guidelines

- Implement noncredit progress indicators starting Fall 2010 through Fall 2011
- Gather data from participating programs and submit it though MIS
- Analyze the data and make the recommendation as to the feasibility of a standard progress indicator system for noncredit across the state

Pilot Progress Indicators

- Grades A F
- "P": Passing, i.e. at least satisfactory completion of course
- "NP": Not Passing, i.e. less than satisfactory completion of course
- "SP": Satisfactory Progress, i.e. satisfactory progress towards completion of course

Strategy for Current Work

1. Implementing the use of Progress indicators (grades such as P and NP) in order to document student success in Noncredit. Currently all noncredit is reported out as zero.

2. Discussion and planning to improve the current noncredit accountability measures which are not reflecting data or noncredit accurately (the ARCC, Basic Skills and CDCP reports).

The Noncredit Taskforce has already completed the following:

A. Planned and initiated a progress indicator pilot to submit grades/progress indicators to the CCCCO beginning this semester (Fall 2010) and ramping up next semester. Please see the attached with the colleges.

B. Defined a suggested set of progress indicators to be used statewide. Currently the CCCCO changes any noncredit grades to UG - ungraded - even when submitted.

C. Analyzed the current issues with accountability reporting and suggested some changes and included a rational for each change.

D. Used training developed by the ASCCC Noncredit committee. Held webinars for all interested colleges explaining the issues which were attended by 70-100 people. Trained faculty at PCC and NOCCCD-SCE in December with another training planned for MJC on March 18.

E. Met with the CCCCO division of research and accountability to discuss some of the issues in existing accountability reporting. Additional data to provide better understanding of how to improve the data will be provided.

F. The Taskforce has collected and analyzed both local and CCCCO data, training processes, issues in collection and submission process.

The Noncredit Taskforce will work closely with the Noncredit Committee to follow up on issues identified in the pilot phase, allow time to analyze the pilot results, improve training, pay for meetings to address existing accountability reports and implement statewide processes the following year. Information will be reported to the ASCCC and final decisions regarding the value of progress indicators will be submitted to the ASCCC through the taskforce. Currently the emphasis must be on

- 1. Faculty training the majority are adjunct (over 80%).
- 2. Support in submitting the data and closing the gap between the classroom and MIS and between MIS and CCCCO. (Most noncredit programs record their data separate from the credit data because currently all success noncredit indicators appear as skewing the credit data.

Ultimately this benefits the students, providing documentation of their progress, statewide discussions about student success and the actionable information necessary to assess and improve our noncredit work in the CCC's.

Pilot Participants

| | | Whic | ch non | credit | : progi | rams v | vill pa | nticipa | ite? | | Γ | | | | | s indicators will use. | When will you begin the pilot? |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Name of Institution | Approximate noncredit FTES: | ESL | Short Term Vocational | Older Adults | Parenting | Basic Skills - High school Dinloma/GFD/ASF/ABF | Disabled (DSPS) | High school Dialoma/GED/ACE/ARE | Immigrants | Home Economics | Health and Safety | Please list any CDCP programs that will participate | A,B ,C, D, an d F. | (P) Pass/ (NP) No Pass. | (P) /(SP)/ (AP) | Other (please specify) | Fall Reporting Dec 2010; Spring reporting May 2011 |
| LAVC | 604 | х | | | | | | | | | | | | х | | | Spring 2011 |
| LBCC | 650 | x | | | | | | | | | | ESL | | х | | | Spring 2011 |
| Mt.SAC | 6000 | x | x | x | | x | x | x | | | | ESL, Short- Term Voc, All ABE- related | | | x | HS referral courses will translate letter grades from local transcripts to P/NP based on letter grade of C or better being "P". | Fall 2011 |
| ELAC | | x | | | | | | | x | | | | | x | | Portfolio samples selective projects | Spring 2011 |

| | | Whic | ch non | credit | t prog | rams v | vill pa | articipa | ite? | | | | | | | s indicators will use. | When will you begin the pilot? |
|--|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|---|
| Name of Institution | Approximate noncredit FTES: | ESL | Short Term Vocational | Older Adults | Parenting | Basic Skills - High school Dinloma/GFD/ASF/ABF | Disabled (DSPS) | High school Dinhoma/GED/ASE/ARE | Immigrants | Home Economics | Health and Safety | Please list any CDCP programs that will participate | A,B ,C, D, an d F. | (P) Pass/ (NP) No Pass. | (P) /(SP)/ (NP) | Other (please specify) | Fall Reporting Dec 2010; Spring reporting May 2011 |
| Santa Rosa | 3158 | x | | x | | x | | | | | | ESL, Basic Skills | | | x | SD (Skill development) for some classes | Spring 2011 |
| Rancho Santiago Community College District | 5800 | x | | | | | | | | | | | | | x | | Fall 2011 |
| PCC | 1274 | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | Х | Х | х | | Fall 2011 |
| Taft | 150 | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | Х | Х | | | Fall 2011 |
| Santiago Canyon College | 2300 | x | x | | x | x | x | x | | | x | CDCP ESL, Short Term Vocl, Basic Skills and High School Diplom a/GED will particip ate. | X | | x | | Spring 2011 |

| | | Whie | ch non | credit | t prog | rams v | will pa | articipa | ate? | | I | | | | | s indicators will use. | When will you begin the pilot? |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---|
| Name of Institution | Approximate noncredit FTES: | ESL | Short Term Vocational | Older Adults | Parenting | Basic Skills - High school Dinhoma/GFD/ACF/ABF | Disabled (DSPS) | High school Dinhoma/GED/ACE/ARE | Immigrants | Home Economics | Health and Safety | Please list any CDCP programs that will participate | A,B ,C, D, an d F. | (P) Pass/ (NP) No Pass. | (P) /(SP)/ (NP) | Other (please specify) | Fall Reporting Dec 2010; Spring reporting May 2011 |
| Modesto Junior College | 166 | x | | | | | | | | | | MJC has a CDCP progra m. | | x | | | Spring 2011 |
| SCE | 3000 | х | x | х | х | | х | | | | | ESL, CTE | | | х | | Fall 2011 |
| Gavilan Community College | 300 | х | | х | | x | | | Х | | | | | | х | | Spring 2011 |
| San Diego Community College CE | 10000 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | Weldin g, Auto Tech, STAR, Child Dev,ES L | | | x | | Spring 2011 |

Mt SAC Non Credit Progress Indicators – Adult Basic Education

- P = Pass, satisfactory completion of a course
- SP = Satisfactory Progress toward completion of a course
- NP = Did not complete the course satisfactorily (**no** measureable or unknown progress)

| Course | Pass | SP | No Pass |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| ABE02-GED Prep | Pass the official GED exam Pass GED post-tests with score of 500 or better | Attendance of at least 6-hours for the semester Satisfactory participation and progress as observed or determined by faculty | Added class too late for adequate evaluation Enrolled and did not attend Attendance, participation, and progress are observed or determined by faculty as unsatisfactory |
| ABE02-ASVAB Prep | Pass the ASVAB exam Earn a score of 10.0 or better on the TABE diagnostics | Attendance of at least 6-hours for the semester Satisfactory participation and progress as observed or determined by faculty | Added class too late for adequate evaluation Enrolled and did not attend Attendance, participation, and progress are observed or determined by faculty as unsatisfactory |
| LERN06 – Personal Computer Apps | Earn Certificate of Completion for at least one software program | Attendance of at least 6-hours for the semester Satisfactory participation and progress as observed or determined by faculty | Added class too late for adequate evaluation Enrolled and did not attend Attendance, participation, and progress are observed or determined by faculty as unsatisfactory |

Mt SAC Non Credit Progress Indicators – Adult HS Diploma

- A-C = Pass, satisfactory completion of a course
- SP = Satisfactory Progress toward completion of a course
- NP = No Pass: Did not complete the course satisfactorily

or not making satisfactory progress.

| Course | A-C | SP | NP |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Adult High School Diploma Courses | All required coursework is completed with an overall grade of C or better. All required hours are completed. | Earning an overall grade of C or better but has not completed all required coursework. Earning an overall grade of C or better but has not completed required hours. | Completed all required coursework and hours with an overall grade of D or F. Earning an overall grade of D or F and has not completed required coursework. Placed on a Progress Policy Probation Contract. May not be able to complete required coursework. May not be able to complete required coursework. May not be able to complete required coursework. May not be able to complete required hours. No work has been completed. |

Mt SAC Non Credit Progress Indicators – High School Referral (On campus)

- A-C = Pass, satisfactory completion of a course
- SP = Satisfactory Progress toward completion of a course
- NP = No Pass: Did not complete the course satisfactorily

or not making satisfactory progress.

| Course | A-C | SP | NP |
|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| High School Referral Courses | All required coursework is completed with an overall grade of C or better. All required hours are completed. | Earning an overall grade of C or better but has not completed all required coursework. Earning an overall grade of C or better but has not completed required hours. Enrolled less than one week prior to end of grading period. | Completed all required coursework and hours with an overall grade of D or F. Earning an overall grade of D or F and has not completed required coursework. May not be able to complete required coursework. May not be able to complete required coursework. May not be able to complete required coursework. |

| | Name: 200, 200 Hong (HANE) Level: pre-level 1 Instructor: Heray Ac | Date of Birth: <u>al/141/469</u> MMY DD/ YYYY MUSCON Fall ZOIO |
|----|--|---|
| | Mt. San Antonio English as a Second Lang | |
| | STUDENT PROGR | ESS REPORT |
| | Midterm | End of Term |
| | Oral Evaluations: High Mid Low | High Mid Low |
| 1- | Writing Evaluations: High Mid Low | High Mid Low (00 40 (00 76 ASACTIO 9412 (00 40 (00 76 Aligh Mid Low |
| | Midterm / Final: | 95 % |
| | Class Participation: Great Good Fuir Poor | Class Great Good Fair Poor |
| | Attendance & Punctuality: Great Good Fair Poor | |
| | Student Learning Outcome Project: BCIVICS / DAL/ Great Good Fair Poor | For Great Good Fair Poor |
| | Midterm | End of Term |
| | than your speaking. I can see you that your speaking and vocabulary isgetting better through your performance. Good job (1) Rec | mments Hank, I'm im pressed ou work very hard to improve your speaking. Now, we can have conversations is commendation Good jub 1 |
| | please the not to this chast | ntinue to practice speaking English Good Luck in Level 1 |
| | Also, try tos peak more in class and outside of class as | Advance to next level |
| | tapk 10-15-10 | Repeat current level |
| | 1000 AMAR 101570 | 11 Los Angel Angel In Signature / Date / 17/10/10 |

Top copy: ESL office copy Middle copy: Student's final copy Last copy: Student's midterm copy



Mt. San Antonio College English as a Second Language Department

STUDENT PROGRESS REPORT

| | Midterm | Ε | nd of Term |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|
| Oral Evaluations: | High Mid | Low High | Mid Low |
| Writing Evaluations: | High Mid | Low High | Mid Low |
| Teacher Made Exams: | High Mid (| Low High | Mid Low? |
| Midterm / Final: | 63 % | | 60 % |
| Class Participation: | Great Good Fair | Poor Great | Good Fair Poor |
| Attendance & Punctuality: | Great Good Fair | Poor Greak | Good Fair Poor |
| Student Learning Outcome Project: <u>Employment</u> | Great Good Fair | Poor Great | PGood Fair Poor |
| Midterm | | | of Term |
| Comments Lar spealer are at level, but | ing and writin | + test scores | 2004 to improve your |
| Improve your tests Seem to be doing u | cores. You | to under Sta | and but when |
| Recommendation work to | edo in clas | Recommendation | ou talk a test |
| but you bont seen | nto ho allo | La pour si | sem to do very |
| to take what you | ne learned an | g couli | 9 |
| apply it to your t | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Advance | to next level |
| more questions it inderstand some | tima. | X Repeat cu | rrent level |
| The A. | <u> </u> | 1 - t | |
| Student Signature / Date | / | Student Signature / Date | <u> </u> |
| Auto Vintal | | 1:00/ | |
| Instructor Signature / Date | / | Instructor Signature / Date | |
| | | | |

Top copy: ESL office copy Middle copy: Student's final copy Last copy: Student's midterm copy

| MT. SAC. Mt. San Antonio College | ("1) | English as a Second Language Dept. |
|---|--|--|
| Name: Man Gan St, | ("faceie") | Date of Birth: |
| At Level: Instructor | r: Kita | Term: Fall 2010 |
| | STUDENT PRO | GRESS REPORT |
| | Midterm | End of Term |
| Oral Evaluations: | Above At Level | Below Above At Level Below |
| Writing Evaluations: | Above At Level | Below Above At Level Below N/ Dusil |
| Teacher Made Exams: | Above At Level | Below Above At Level Below Ang.=64% |
| Midterm / Final: | 58 % | 67 % |
| Class Participation: | Great Good Fair | Poor Great Good Fair Poor |
| Attendance & Punctuality: | Great Good Fair | Poor Great Good Fair Poor |
| Class Project: Inderson Inder | und Great Good Fair | Poor Not Applie. Great Good Fair Poor |
| Midterm | | End of Term |
| Comments: you are gettin | | |
| involved in class and Well with other study | working | Comments: When you are in class, / can see that your efforts are improving your English, but your fest scores Recommendations: |
| Well with other study | Jarkeing | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test screes Recommendations: are not satisfactory. |
| Well with other study | Jarkeing | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test scores Recommendations: Are not satisfactory. / think you need to come to class more |
| in volved in class and Well with other study Recommendations: Try f time offer class perie you've learned in cla | o spend some wing what ss, and osk | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test scores Recommendations: A think you need to come to class more offen and respect Level 5 to help you light what you have learned before. |
| in volved in class and Well with other study Recommendations: Try f time after class revie Jon 'ne learned in cla. More questions. / m | Jarkeing | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your fest screes Recommendations: / think you need to come to class more offen and respect Level 5 to help you digest what you have learned bythe. Advance to next At Level |
| in volved in class and Well with other study Recommendations: Try f time offer class perie you've learned in cla | o spend some wing what ss, and osk | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test scores Recommendations: A think you need to come to class more offen and respect Level 5 to help you light what you have learned before. |
| in volved in class and Well with other study Recommendations: Try f time offer class revice you've bearned in cla. more questions. I'm to help you. | o spend some wing what ss, and osk | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test scores Recommendations: Are not satisfactory. / think you need to come to class more offen and respect Level 5 to help you digest what you have learned befor. Repeat current At Level Repeat current At Level |
| in volved in class and Well with other study Recommendations: Try f time after class revie Jon 'ne learned in cla. More questions. / m | Lave king ents. by zpend some wing what ss, And Ask Always Ready 110/19/10 | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test screes Recommendations: / think you need to come to class more offen and respect Level 5 to help you digest what you have learned befor. Advance to next At Level Repeat current At Level |
| in volved in class and Well with other study Recommendations: Try f time offer class revice you've bearned in cla. more questions. I'm to help you. | averts. o spend some wing what ss, and osk e slways ready | See that your efforts are improving your English, but your test scores Recommendations: Are not satisfactory. / think you need to come to class more offen and respect Level 5 to help you digest what you have learned befor. Repeat current At Level Repeat current At Level |

Top copy: ESL office copy Middle copy: Student's final copy Last copy: Student's midterm copy

Santa Ana College Grading for Non Credit Students

(Progress Indicators)

- Use Web Advisor to enter grades
 - Click on "Grading"
 - Every student on the roster needs a grade as listed below.
 - Do not use AT or leave a box blank this time.
- P = Promoted
- SP = Satisfactory Progress, but not enough to be promoted yet
- NP = Not promoted (**no** measureable or unknown progress)
- COM = For Intermediate level instructors only, use a COM for students who have been promoted **and** achieved a certain score on the ESL Post Test. Int. 1 & 2 = Score of 75% or better on the ESL Post Test Int. 3 = Score of 70% or better on the ESL Post Test
 - NOTE: You grade before you drop.

Rancho Santiago Noncredit Indicator Definitions

Here is the narrative of the instructions we sent to our instructors. For the purposes of the pilot the COM = P.

```
For High School students enter:
A - Excellent
B - Good
C - Satisfactory
D - Less Than Satisfactory
F - Fail
SP - Satisfactory Progress
NP - No Measurable Progress
```

For ABE, VBUS, and all other students enter: P - Pass SP - Satisfactory Progress NP - No Measurable Progress

SCE Pilot Progress Indicators

- "P": Passing, i.e. satisfactory completion of course
- "NP": Not Passing, i.e. less than satisfactory progress of course
- "SP": Satisfactory Progress, i.e. satisfactory progress towards completion of course but not completion
- "NG": Not Graded, i.e. grade not assigned due to lack of information to evaluate

Two Progress Indicator Systems for the SCE Pilot

CTE Courses

| Evaluative Symbol | Meaning | Parameters |
|-------------------|------------|---|
| NG | Not Graded | Will be automatically available to instructors for the students attending 8 hrs or less of the course; 8 hrs of attendance will be calculated <i>starting from the first day of instruction</i> . |
| W | Withdraw | Will be automatically available to instructors for the students attending less than 75% of the course; the attendance percentage will be calculated <i>starting from the first day of instruction</i> . |
| Р | Pass | Will be available as an option for the students attending 75% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> Note: additional attendance requirements might apply for certain CTE courses |
| NP | No Pass | Will be available as an option for the students attending 75% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> Note: additional attendance requirements might apply for certain CTE courses |

ESL for Academic Success Courses

| Evaluative Symbol | Meaning | Parameters |
|-------------------|------------|--|
| NG | Not Graded | Will be automatically available to instructors for the students attending 25% or less of the <i>total instructional time of the course;</i> scheduled holidays and other days off during the course term will be excluded from the attendance |
| | | percentage calculation. |
| W | Withdraw | Will be automatically available to instructors for the students attending less than 75% of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> |
| Р | Pass | Will be available as an option for the students attending75% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> |
| NP | No Pass | Will be available as an option for the students attending75% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> |

Participating Courses/Instructors

| Course Subject | Course Title | CRN |
|----------------|------------------------|-------|
| Code/Number | | |
| ESLA/1040 | Listening/Speaking for | 60822 |
| | Academic Success | |
| ESLA/1045 | Reading/Writing for | 60823 |
| | Academic Success | |
| ESLA/1040 | Listening/Speaking for | 60820 |
| | Academic Success | |
| ESLA/1045 | Reading/Writing for | 60821 |
| | Academic Success | |
| ESLA/1040 | Listening/Speaking for | 51106 |
| | Academic Success | |

All Other SCE Programs

| Evaluative Symbol | Meaning | Parameters |
|----------------------|--------------------------|---|
| NG | Not Graded | Will be automatically available to instructors for the students attending less than 25% of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> . Scheduled holidays and other days off during the course term will be excluded from the attendance percentage calculation; the "NG" indicator will not affect the registration status of the students. |
| Р | Pass | Will be available as an option for the students attending 25% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> |
| NP | No Pass | Will be available as an option for the students attending 25% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> |
| SP | Satisfactory Progress | Will be available as an option for the students attending 25% or more of the <i>total instructional time of the course</i> |

Participating Courses/Instructors

| DSPS Program Denise Simpson | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------|------------|--|--|
| Course | Title | CRN | Instructor | | |
| Code/Number | | | | | |
| DSPS 315 | Relationships | 51535, 51536 | | | |
| DSPS 320 | Human Sexuality | 51537 | | | |
| DSPS 140 | Social Skills | 50336 | | | |
| OAP Program Co | ntact Person TBD | | | | |
| HLTH 180 | Brain Health for Older Adults | 60533 | | | |
| CRAE 100 | Creative Arts for Older Adults @ New Horizon | 10832 | | | |
| Parenting Program | n Rita Pierce | | · | | |
| PARN 101 | Joyful Parent – Art/Music/Movement | 60123 | | | |
| PARN 200 | Joyful Parenting A, M 2.5 – 4.5 | 60422 | | | |
| ESL Program Jor | ge Gamboa | | · | | |
| ESLA/120 | ESL Family Literacy | 51250 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ESLA/810 | ESL Reading/Writing | 60875 | | | |
| ESLA/813 | Intermediate Grammar Review | 31164 | | | |
| ESLA/813 | Intermediate Grammar Review | 11187 | | | |

SCE Examples of Criteria for P, NP, and SP

| | CDCP | Life Skills |
|----|--|---|
| Р | Satisfactory Completion of instructional objectives as demonstrated by: Satisfactory completion of final exam Completing all course requirements set by faculty, e.g. attendance, term paper, exams, etc. Satisfactory completion of project or portfolio Satisfactory completion of SLO's | Satisfactory Completion of instructional objectives as demonstrated by: Satisfactory improvement in posttest Satisfactory completion of behavior observation Satisfactory completion of required physical activity Satisfactory completion of project or portfolio Satisfactory completion of self-report or survey Satisfactory completion of SLO's |
| NP | Lack of information to evaluate progress towards completion of instructional objectives or less than satisfactory progress towards completion of instructional objectives as demonstrated by: Unsatisfactory completion of final exam Less than satisfactory completion of all course requirements, e.g. attendance, term paper, exams, etc. Unsatisfactory completion of project or portfolio Unsatisfactory completion of course SLO's | Lack of information to evaluate progress towards completion of instructional objectives or less than satisfactory progress towards completion of instructional objectives as demonstrated by: Less than satisfactory improvement in posttest Less than satisfactory demonstration of behavior as observed by instructor Less than satisfactory completion of or lack of improvement in completion of required physical activity Less than satisfactory progress towards completion) Less than satisfactory completion of self-report or survey (below what's considered satisfactory progress towards completion) Unsatisfactory completion of course SLO's |
| SP | Satisfactory progress but not completion of instructional objectives and SLO's as demonstrated by: Active participation in learning activities as observed by instructor Satisfactory completion of intermediate assignments (exams) but less than satisfactory completion of final exam Partial completion of course requirements, e.g. attendance and exams but not term paper Partial completion of project or portfolio Partial completion of SLO's | Satisfactory progress but not completion of course bible of instructional objectives and SLO's as demonstrated by: Some improvement without reaching the required improvement in posttest, e.g. 5% instead of required 10% Improvement in the demonstrated behavior without reaching all required indicators as specified by faculty Improvement in completion of required physical activity without reaching the goal set by faculty Partial satisfactory completion of project or portfolio Partial satisfactory completion of self-report or survey Partial completion of SLO's |

Santa Rosa Junior College Noncredit ESL Progress Indicator Report

| Name: | | Date o | f Birth: | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|----------|------|------|
| evel: Instructor: | | _ | Semes | ter: | | |
| STUDENT PROGRESS REPORT | | | | | | |
| | End of Term | | | | | |
| Reading Evaluations | High | Mid | Low | | | |
| Writing Evaluations | High | Mid | Low | | | |
| Oral/Aural Evaluations | High | Mid | Low | | | |
| Other tests | High | Mid | Low | | | |
| Class Participation | Great | Good | Fair | Poor | | |
| Student Learning Outcome Project: | | | _Great | Good | Fair | Poor |
| Comments/Recommendation: | | | | | | |
| Advance to next level | | _ Repea | t currer | nt level | | |
| Student Signature/Date: | | | | | | |
| Instructor Signature/Date: | | | | | | |

ESL NONCREDIT PROGRESS INDICATORS – GUIDELINES (Spring 2011)

Why do this?

- To demonstrate, through statistics, that noncredit students progress.

- We join community colleges across the state in this "teacher-driven" trial reporting project to create an accurate picture of our noncredit student population.

- This academic year, students won't have grades on transcripts, and all reports remain in-house.

What will it take?

- Every core class will report progress indicators this spring and fall. Every faculty member needs to weave "grading" into your end-of-semester, pre-registration process.

- Using a current class list, record decisions about whether a student has Passed (P), made satisfactory progress (SP) or has not made progress and not passed (NP). Talk to your colleagues if you have questions.

Remember: This coding is part of an Accountability Pilot and will not become part of the students' permanent records. It is up to you whether or not you share students' "grades" with them, or not.

How do I do this?

1. Record a final performance indicator for each student enrolled in your class when you record positive attendance hours at the end of the semester.

2. Take a look at your current roster. If students have left your class, but have attended for at least 8 hours, assign them a "grade" of NP, SP or P (was their work satisfactory before they left?).

3. If a student has dropped your class after attending fewer than 8 hours (or, if the student was on your roster but never attended), give the student a "W/NG?"

4. If you share students with another teacher, you can elect to give a student the same "grade," or not, depending upon how the student performed in/and/or attended each class.

When?At the end of spring and fall semester, 2011, enter all "grades" and
positive attendance within 3 days of the end of your class.

FAQs ATTENDANCE \neq PROGRESS

In our open entry/exit, managed enrollment program, students may spend a short time with us and improve rapidly, or take many semesters to progress because of difficult life situations, lack of education or learning disabilities. Therefore, a bad attendance record does not always mean poor progress and a good attendance record doesn't always mean that a student is ready to be promoted. Similarly, a student may place himself/herself in too low a level and may be clearly ready to be promoted despite having spent limited hours attending your class.

| Situation | Code |
|---|-------------|
| No-show or attended fewer than 8 hours | W/NG |
| Too little evidence of work to evaluate | NP |
| Student who, in your judgment has shown no progress whatsoever | NP |
| Consistently poor class performance | NP |
| Student clearly belongs in lower level but refuses to move | NP |
| No or limited improvement on CASAS; does not meet standard on LAP | NP or SP |
| Limited participation or inconsistent attendance with little or no progress | NP or SP |
| evident | |
| Late entry into class (teacher discretion) | NP, SP or P |
| Student dropped by instructor due to poor attendance | NP, SP or P |
| Student who came every day, then left or disappeared | NP, SP or P |
| Chronic repeater (depends upon reason) | NP, SP or P |
| Stopped attending, was making progress but below standard | SP |
| Made satisfactory progress while attending class | SP or P |
| Student who, in your judgment, has made clear progress. | SP or P |
| Made requisite progress on LAP, CIVICS and/or other assessments | SP or P |
| Student who is ready but refuses to go to the next level | Р |
| Student who placed himself/herself in too low a level | Р |
| Made requisite progress on CASAS, LAP Assessment & CIVICS AA's | Р |
| Promoted to next level | Р |

The guidelines below were developed through discussions at our Noncredit Meetings:

Mark the appropriate code: P, SP, NP or "W"

Directions: Use this rubric to determine each student's degree of mastery of course SLO's. Consider using any combination of applicable assessments including those listed below:

| Assessments | Performance indicators | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | P (Pass-Promote) | SP (sufficient progress) | NP (No pass) | DR (drop) | | | |
| Teacher observation Casas score Civics AAPs Writing assessment LAP T-made tests (including portfolio) End of chapter tests Oral/aural assessments (SOLOM, formal, informal) Participation Pattern of progress Effort | Student mastery of SLO's Casas reading score falls in range of subsequent level Passing score on Civics AAPs and other assessments Meets or exceeds standard on Writing Assessment rubric (see handbook) Exceeds or meets standard for active participation and effort (class work, homework, oral participation) | General pattern of making progress but all SLO's not met (rate of progress may vary based on previous education of st's) Active participation in class activities but results are below standard. Makes effort (class work, homework, oral participation) but performance does not meet standard. | Little or no evidence of | Fewer than 8 hours or no show | | | |

Teacher Observation of st performance in class implies informal assessment, including may include interactions, following directions, language use in class, group work, quality of class work.

Criteria for assessment:

Include in document: SLO's, Casas ranges, situations and progress report.