Mt. San Antonio College Accreditation Steering Committee ■ Minutes 4 October 2019 10:30am- 12noon

Χ	Richard Mahon, Co-Chair			
Χ	Barbara McNeice-Stallard, (Co-Chair)			
Χ	Ronald Bean	Х	Beta Meyer	
Χ	Liza Becker	Х	Kim Leiloni Nguyen	
	Alexis Carter		Serena Ott	
Χ	Diana Dzib		Robert Stubbe	
Χ	Lianne Greenlee		Audrey Yamagata Noji	
	Johnny Jauregui	Х	Chisa Uyeki	
	Lance Heard	Х	Calvin Tran (Student Rep)	
	Parisa Mahjoor			
Χ	Journana Mc Gowan	Х	Recorder: Lisa Jackson	

	Topic	Updates/Discussion	Outcome/Action
1.	Welcome and Introduction	Richard welcomed the group to the meeting which was followed by roundtable introductions.	
		Richard gave overview of WASC and ASC as well as the purpose of the Accreditation Steering Committee.	
2.	Approval of April 12 Minutes	Richard asked for motion to approve the minutes. Barbara moves, seconded by Ron, no discussion. All were in favor, no abstentions.	
3.	Agenda Review	There is no attachment for Topic No. 4 (see April 4 minutes)	
		 Added Topic No. 5 – Purpose, Function & Membership Statement for Accreditation Steering Committee 	
		Motion to approve agenda by Richard, moved by Beta, all were in favor of approving. There were no nays and no abstentions.	
4.	Committee Structure Evaluation	There was a review of the April 12 minutes regarding Committee Structure Evaluation.	
		 In our accreditation report it was noted that the college had a complex governance structure. Committee structures were said to be confusing and unclear. 	
		Chisa spoke about the ways in which the college is looking at the governance structure.	
		 The senate has been looking at how the Committees are split and they are looking at their Committees. There are four different types: 	
		o Governance	

[~] Tell the truth / Take the high road. • Trust your doubts/gut. • Students First! • Hire the right people for the right roles, trust them, and support them. • Focus on solutions.~

	Topic	Updates/Discussion	Outcome/Action	
		o Operational		
		o Senate		
1		Other		
		The overall goal is to have a committee structure that is effective, that utilize shared governance to ensure informed decision making, and ensures that the faculty voice is appropriately included where it should be.		
		Long term vision is that a separate Guided Pathways committee will not be as a result of full integration.	need	
5.	Purpose, Function & Membership Statement (attachment)	ent (attachment) faculty to designate a proxy for committee membership.		
		 Chisa stated that a replacement could be sent per meeting, but that person would be unable to vote. 		
		The Committee reviewed the Purpose, Function & Membership and amende follows:	ed as	
		¤ Position⋅Represented¤ Name¤		
		Accreditation·Liaison·Officer·—·Vice·President,· Richard·Mahon [®] Instruction·(Co-Chair) [®]		
		2 Faculty-Accreditation-Coordinator (Co-Chair) Rocio-Avilaz (appointed by Academic Senate) Rocio-Avilaz		
		3 Associate·Vice·President, ·Instruction·x Journana·McGowanx		
		Director, Research and Institutional Barbara McNeice-Stallards Effectivenesss		
		5 Director, Professional & Organizational Lianne Greenlee Development		
		6 AVP-or-Designee-School-of-Continuing- Liza-Becker¤ Education-or-Designee¤		
		7 Management-from-Student-Services ^x Audrey-Yamagata-Noji ^x		
		8. Management from Administrative Services Ron Bean		
		9 Management from Human Resources Alexis Carter		
		10. A Academic Senate President or Designee Chisa Uyekia		
		11. ^{xi} Faculty-Association-President-or-Designee ^{xi} Elizabeta-Meyer ^{xi} 12. ^{xi} Classified-Senate-President-or-Designee ^{xi} Diana-Dzib ^{xi}		
		12.¤ Classified Senate President or Designee ¤ Diana Dzib¤ 13.¤ CSEA 262 President or Designee ¤ Robert Stubbe¤		
		14.¤ CSEA-651-President-or-Designee¤ Johnny-Jaurequi¤		
		15. Student-(appointed by the Associated Calvin-Trans Students) Students		
		16.¤ Outcomes·Coordinator¤ Kim-Leiloni·Nguyen¤		
		Motion to approve the Purpose, Function & Membership made by Richard, seconded by Beta. All were in favor, there were no nays and no abstentions. O Goals will be discussed and set at the next meeting.		
6.	Programmatic Accreditation Report	To be discussed at the next meeting		

	Topic	Updates/Discussion	Outcome/Action		
7.	ACCJC Annual Reports	Discussion tabled for next meeting			
8.	Presentation at ACCJC	Discussion tabled for next meeting			
9.	Parking Lot				
	Future meetings: Regular meetings 1st Friday of each month during the Fall Semester Dates to note:				